BILLY J. WILLIAMS, OSB #901366

United States Attorney

JARED D. HAGER, WSB #38961

Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Oregon 1000 SW Third Avenue, Suite 600

Portland, OR 97204-2902

Phone: 503.727.1200

E-mail: jared.hager@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Applicant

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF OREGON

## PORTLAND DIVISION

IN RE LETTER OF REQUEST FROM THE DISTRICT COURT IN HRADEC, KRÁLOVÉ, CZECH REPUBLIC CASE NO. 3:20-cv-00932

APPLICATION FOR ORDER
APPOINTING COMMISSIONER AND
COMPELLING DISCOVERY

# **APPLICATION**

The United States of America, by Billy J. Williams, U.S. Attorney for the District of Oregon, through Jared D. Hager, Assistant U.S. Attorney (the "Government"), petitions the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 for an order appointing a magistrate judge or AUSA Hager as commissioner, and compelling Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., with registered agent at 1127 Broadway Street, NE, Suite 310, Salem, Oregon 97301 ("Wells Fargo"), to produce evidence for use in a proceeding pending before a district court in Hradec, Králové, Czech Republic.

# Page 1 Application for Order to Compel Discovery

## INTRODUCTION

The United States is party to a multilateral treaty to assist judicial authorities in fellow signatory states in need of evidence located in the United States. *See* The Hague Convention on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters, *opened for signature* Mar. 18, 1970, T.I.A.S. No. 7444, 23 U.S.T. 2555, 1972 WL 122493, *entered into force as to the United States* Oct. 7, 1972 (hereinafter the "Hague Evidence Convention"). <sup>1</sup> This application aims to meet the Government's treaty obligations.

A district court in the Czech Republic has requested evidence pursuant to the Hague Evidence Convention.<sup>2</sup> Specifically, the Czech Republic court seeks bank account information of a Czech Republic national held by Wells Fargo within Oregon. The information is subject to no apparent legal privilege and would be discoverable in domestic litigation. Wells Fargo will not comply with the Czech court's request absent a court order. The Government therefore asks the Court to issue an order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 appointing a magistrate judge or AUSA Hager as commissioner, and compelling Wells Fargo to produce documents responsive to the Czech court's request.

#### **FACTS**

The district court in Hradec, Králové, Czech Republic is currently seized of a matter of inheritance proceedings and to settle the estate of Ing. Ota Špaček. *See* Hager Decl., Ex. A, Czech Republic's Letter of Request, at 3. The Czech court requests statements of remaining

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Hague Evidence Convention is reproduced as Exhibit B to the Declaration of Jared D. Hager.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  The Czech court's request, officially translated by a sworn and certified court translator, is attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Jared D. Hager.

balances in bank accounts held by Špaček. *See id.* To assist in the pending action to settle the estate, the Czech court has requested the following information from Wells Fargo Bank, NA:

- a) Account named Wells Fargo Prime Checking, account no. #####2335
- b) Account named Wells Fargo High Yield Savings, account no. ######4324, under this account there should also be the PMA private benefits package

Hager Decl., Ex. A, Czech Republic's Letter of Request, at 3.

On or about April 8, 2020, the Czech court sent its request to the U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division, Office of International Judicial Assistance ("OIJA"), which serves as the central authority for the United States under the Hague Evidence Convention. *See* Hager Decl., Ex. C, OIJA Letter, at 1; *g*. 28 C.F.R. § 0.49(b). After confirming the Czech court's request was properly executable, the OIJA delegated the request to the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Oregon ("USAO"), the federal judicial district where the target and evidence are located.<sup>3</sup> The OIJA instructed the USAO to apply for order pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1782 to compel compliance with the Czech Republic court's lawful Hague Evidence Convention request. *See* Hager Decl., Ex. C, OIJA Letter, at 1.

# **ARGUMENT**

I. The Hague Evidence Convention Requires the Government to Help Czech Courts to Obtain Evidence Located in the United States for Use in Judicial Proceedings in the Czech Republic

The Hague Evidence Convention permits a judicial authority of a contracting state to request evidence for use in a judicial proceeding from the competent authority of another

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The OIJA's letter to the USAO, dated April 8, 2019, is attached as Exhibit C to the Declaration of Jared D. Hager.

contracting state. *See* Hager Decl., Ex. B, Hague Evidence Convention, art. 1. In executing a request, the Convention requires the receiving state to "apply the appropriate measures of compulsion in the instances and to the same extent as are provided by its internal law for the execution of orders issued by the authorities of its own country or of requests made by parties in internal proceedings." *Id.* art. 10.

The United States and Czech Republic are both signatory states to the Hague Evidence Convention. The United States ratified the Convention on August 8, 1972, and it entered into force on October 7, 1972. See 23 U.S.T. 2555, T.I.A.S. No. 7444, 1972 WL 122493; see also In re Futurecorp Int'l Pty Ltd., 2012 WL 5818288 (N.D. Cal. 2012) (granting ex parte application for discovery pursuant to Hague Convention request for international judicial assistance and 28 U.S.C. § 1782). The Czech Republic succeeded to the Convention on January 28, 1993, after it entered into force following the division of the former Czechoslovakia on January 1, 1993. See Hague Conference on Private International Law, Status Table for Convention of 18 March 1970 on the Taking of Evidence Abroad in Civil or Commercial Matters, available at <a href="http://www.hcch.net/index\_en.php?act=conventions.status&cid=82">http://www.hcch.net/index\_en.php?act=conventions.status&cid=82</a> (last visited June 9, 2020).

The Czech court's request complies with the Convention's requirements because it includes the following four pieces of information:

- 1. The requesting authority's identity;
- 2. The names and addresses of the parties to the proceedings and their representatives;
- 3. The nature of the proceedings for which the evidence is required; and

## Page 4 Application for Order to Compel Discovery

4. The evidence to be obtained.

See Hager Decl., Ex. B, Hague Evidence Convention, art. 3.

In this case, the request indicates that the District Court in Hradec, Králové, Czech Republic is currently seized of a matter of inheritance proceedings and to settle the estate of Ing. Ota Špaček. The request includes the addresses of the parties and their representatives, and specifies the evidence to be obtained. *See* Hager Decl., Ex. A, Czech Republic's Letter of Request.

The Government can refuse a proper Hague Evidence Convention request only if the request "does not fall within the functions of the judiciary" or the Government "considers that its sovereignty or security would be prejudiced" by executing the request. *See* Hager Decl., Ex. B, Hague Evidence Convention, art. 12. Neither exception applies here. *See* Hager Decl., Ex. C, OIJA Letter. Simply put, the request seeks individual financial account information that is routinely discoverable in domestic litigation. *See, e.g., SEC v. Sassano*, 274 F.R.D. 495, 496-497 (S.D.N.Y. 2011) (compelling compliance with subpoena duces tecum to obtain financial information, including "any bank or financial account" of the defendant). In fact, Wells Fargo has previously complied with similar international requests upon issuance of a federal court order. *See, e.g., In re Letter of Request from District Court in Piaseczno, Poland*, No. 3:19-cv-01968-YY (D. Or.). If Wells Fargo has no responsive information, it can attest to that fact.

# II. Federal Law Enables the Court to Order Wells Fargo to Respond to the Czech Court's Request for Documentary Evidence

Independent of the Hague Evidence Convention, federal law authorizes the Court to issue a discovery order in aid of foreign proceedings. See 28 U.S.C. § 1782; Intel Corp. v.

Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., 542 U.S. 241, 247-249 (2004) (discussing the history, purpose, and text of 28 U.S.C. § 1782). A § 1782 request is proper if:

- 1. The request or letter rogatory is made by a foreign or international tribunal or other interested person;
- 2. The request is made to the district court of the district in which the target person resides; and
- 3. The request seeks the target person's testimony or statement, or the production of documents, for use in a proceeding in a foreign or international tribunal.

See 28 U.S.C. § 1782(a); Intel, 542 U.S. at 246; In re Judicial Assistance Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 by Macquarie Bank Ltd., 2015 WL 3439103, at \*4 (D. Nev. May 28, 2015) (reciting the three elements of 28 U.S.C. § 1782).

The foreign request is proper in this case. It is duly made by a district court of the Czech Republic; it is directed to a branch of Wells Fargo Bank that resides in the District of Oregon; and it seeks statements and documents for use in inheritance proceedings pending in the Czech court. The Court thus has discretion to issue an order to aid the Czech court. *See Intel*, 542 U.S. at 246.

The Court should exercise its discretion to order discovery in aid of the Czech court's proceeding. The Supreme Court identifies four factors district courts should consider when ruling on a § 1782 application: (1) whether the material sought is within the foreign tribunal's jurisdictional reach and thus accessible absent § 1782; (2) the nature of the foreign tribunal, the character of the proceedings abroad, and the receptivity of the foreign court to U.S. federal court assistance; (3) whether the § 1782 request conceals an attempt to circumvent foreign

proof-gathering restrictions or other policies; and (4) whether the subpoena contains unduly intrusive or burdensome requests. *See Intel*, 542 U.S. at 264-265; *In re Premises Located at 840 140th Ave. NE, Bellevue, Wash.*, 634 F.3d 557, 563 (9th Cir. 2011).

All four factors weigh in favor of ordering discovery. First, the Czech court has no jurisdiction over Wells Fargo in Oregon, and thus cannot obtain the requested evidence without § 1782 assistance. Second, the request was made pursuant to the Hague Evidence Convention that the Czech Republic ratified, establishing that the Czech court is receptive to U.S. federal court assistance and acting consistent with Czech law. Finally, the request is limited in size and scope, seeking only specific information material to the outcome of the foreign court proceeding. It is far from unduly intrusive or burdensome.

As for procedure, the Court has discretion to commission a person to collect evidence and submit it to the requesting foreign court. See 28 U.S.C. § 1782(a) ("The order may . . . direct that the testimony or statement be given, or the document or other thing be produced, before a person appointed by the court."). The court-appointed commissioner can "administer any necessary oath and take the testimony or statement." Id. District courts regularly commission magistrate judges to execute § 1782 requests. See, e.g., H. Doc. No. 92-124, 92d Cong., 1st Sess., p.20 (1971) (noting that the appointment of commissioners for purposes of executing foreign evidentiary requests is common and approved by the Judicial Conference). This district has previously appointed AUSA Hager to collect responsive documents from Wells Fargo to comply with a Hague Evidence Convention request. See In re Letter of Request from District Court in Piaseczno, Poland, No. 3:19-cv-01968-YY, Dkt. 4, Order (D. Or. Dec. 12, 2019).

# Page 7 Application for Order to Compel Discovery

## CONCLUSION

The district court in Hradec, Králové, Czech Republic needs the Court's assistance to obtain evidence from Wells Fargo for use in a pending civil action in the Czech Republic. The Court is authorized by treaty and by statute to appoint a commissioner and direct Wells Fargo to provide evidence in aid of the foreign proceeding. Because the Czech court's request satisfies the elements of both the Hague Evidence Convention and 28 U.S.C. § 1782, the Government respectfully asks for an order appointing a commissioner and compelling Wells Fargo to respond to the Czech Republic court's request.

DATED this 9th day of June 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

BILLY J. WILLIAMS United States Attorney

/s/ Jared D. Hager

JARED D. HAGER Assistant U.S. Attorney